Robot-assisted surgery for posterior superior mediastinal mass
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Abstract: We are going to share the experience of robotic surgery for mediastinal mass. A 40-year-old patient underwent robotic-assisted thoracic surgery for a posterior superior mediastinal mass. The patient was discharged on postoperative day 2 without any perioperative complications. The pathological diagnosis was mediastinal neurofibroma. Our result showed the robotic thoracic surgery of the posterior superior mediastinal mass was efficient and reliable.
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Clinical data

Medical history

A 40-year-old woman was admitted to our hospital because of a posterior mediastinal mass. She had undergone a physical examination in a local hospital 1 month previously and received a diagnosis of a posterior mediastinal mass. One week previously she underwent a second contrast-enhanced computed tomography (CT) scan in our hospital, which showed a posterior mediastinal mass that measured 3.9 cm × 2.4 cm. She had no comorbidities such as diabetes, hypertension or heart disease.

Physical, laboratory and imaging examination

Physical examination

Body temperature was 36.8 °C. Heart rate was 92 beats per minutes. Respiratory rate was 20 breaths per minutes. Blood pressure was 130/78 mmHg. There was no positive sign detected during the physical examination.

Laboratory texts

Results of laboratory texts upon admission were negative.

Imaging examination

Chest contrast-enhanced CT (Figure 1): an oval soft-tissue density mass was found in the right posterior superior mediastinum. It measured 3.9 cm × 2.4 cm and had homogeneous density and smooth margin. No contrast-enhanced signal was found in the opacity.

Preoperative preparation

Conventional skin preparation and preoperative education were performed.

Procedures

Anesthesia and body position

The operation was conducted using general anesthesia. The patient was placed slightly forward in the left lateral recumbent position with single-lung ventilation. Conventional disinfection and draping were performed.

Incision ports

A 1.2 cm camera port was placed at the 5th intercostal space at the right mid-axillary line. Another two 0.8 cm utility ports were created. One of them was between the right posterior axillary line and the subscapular line, in the 8th intercostal space. The other one was at the 3th intercostal space between the anterior axillary line and
midclavicular line.

Operation procedure

(I) The thoracic cavity was inspected and checked for pleural adhesion. An 8-mm artificial pneumothorax was established. The 1st robotic arm was connected to a unipolar cautery hook, and the 2nd robotic arm was connected to a bipolar cautery forceps. The mass and its relation to adjoining tissues and organs were inspected.

(II) The mediastinal pleura was opened and the tumor was dissociated along its edge.

(III) The tumor was completely resected.

(IV) Hemostasis of the tumor bed was achieved.

(V) The dissected tumor was harvested.

(VI) The thoracic cavity was lavaged and the errhysis was inspected.

(VII) An indwelling drainage tube was placed in the camera port. The robot system was withdrawn. The chest was closed after sputum suction and lung recruitment. Total intraoperative blood loss was 5 mL.

Postoperative treatment

After the surgery, the patient received conventional adjuvant remedy. The drainage on postoperative day 1 was 20 mL. The patient was extubated on postoperative day 1. No postoperative morbidity was observed.

Pathologic diagnosis

Morphology: the mediastinal mass was 4 cm × 3 cm × 1.5 cm. The mass substance was moderately hard and looked like tofu. The pathologic diagnosis was mediastinal neurofibroma.

Commentary

For this patient, robotic thoracic surgery was safe and efficient. Compared with traditional video-assisted thoracoscopic surgery (VATS), the robotic system provides a clearer and more intuitive enhanced three-dimensional vision and seven degrees of freedom of the robotic arms (1). These advantages improved the ability to dissociate the mediastinal tumor and perform subtle dissection in a confined space. Furthermore, although the incision length is the same as that required for VATS, the robotic surgery caused less friction and injury to the intercostal nerve. It has been 14 years since Ichiro Yoshino conducted the first robotic-assisted extirpation of a posterior mediastinal mass in 2002 (2). Since then, additional studies have confirmed the feasibility, reliability, and superiority of this method (3-5). Furthermore, the learning curve of robotic thoracic surgery for a posterior mediastinal mass is quite short (4). However, to confirm the advantage of robotic surgery regarding postoperative and long-term survival, high-volume design, pain score, and use of postoperative anesthetic and long-term follow up is still needed.
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