



The surgeon will see you now: selecting soft-tissue sarcoma patients for pulmonary metastasectomy

Anjali C. Raghuram, Bryan M. Burt

Department of Surgery, Division of General Thoracic Surgery, Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, TX, USA

Correspondence to: Bryan M. Burt, MD. One Baylor Plaza, BCM 390, Baylor College of Medicine, Department of Surgery, Division of General Thoracic Surgery, Houston, TX 77005, USA. Email: bryan.burt@bcm.edu.

Comment on: Chudgar NP, Brennan MF, Munhoz RR, *et al.* Pulmonary metastasectomy with therapeutic intent for soft-tissue sarcoma. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 2017;154:319-30.e1.

Received: 05 July 2017; Accepted: 22 August 2017; Published: 15 September 2017.

doi: 10.21037/amj.2017.08.25

View this article at: <http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/amj.2017.08.25>

Soft-tissue sarcoma (STS) is a heterogeneous disease comprised by a number of histologic subtypes. Patterns of metastases, however, are common amongst these tumors. In particular, the lung is the primary site of metastases in STS and pulmonary metastases develop in up to 50% of STS patients (1-4). In the relative absence of effective systemic therapy, metastatic STS presents significant challenges in oncology, and pulmonary metastasectomy (PM) has become a common therapeutic modality for patients with metastatic pulmonary disease (3,5). The data underlying the rationale for PM for STS, however, are comprised predominantly by low level evidence, and it has been argued that the favorable outcomes of PM studies are resultant from selection biases towards tumors with favorable tumor biology. Whereas to some extent this may be true, an opposing argument supports the viewpoint that a thorough understanding of patient characteristics associated with favorable clinical outcomes could be utilized to intentionally select individuals in whom PM is beneficial. Such data would be clinically useful and could contribute to a decision-making framework for patients with STS.

In their study, Chudgar and colleagues from Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center endeavored to identify factors associated with improved survival for patients with STS undergoing PM. Their study features a robust dataset of 539 patients undergoing 760 therapeutic-intent PMs over the span of 23 years, representing the largest single-institution study on STS pulmonary metastases. In this report, the authors demonstrated median overall survival (OS) of 33.2 months and median disease-free survival

(DFS) of 6.8 months for their entire cohort. These survival estimates compare favorably with previous reports of PM for STS in which patients experienced OS ranging 16 to 36 months (6-9). Other studies on PM for STS, however, have demonstrated slightly higher DFS of 9 to 20 months, though these were studies of significantly smaller population samples (5,10). To dissect the underpinnings of these findings, Chudgar et al. conducted elegant statistical analyses to determine the association between clinicopathologic variables and treatment characteristics with OS and DFS. Multivariable analyses demonstrated that leiomyosarcoma histologic subtype, primary tumor size ≤ 10 cm, increasing time from primary tumor resection to development of metastases, solitary lung metastasis, and minimally invasive resection, were each associated with a lower hazard of death. Disease-free interval ≥ 1 year and having a single pulmonary metastasis were associated with lower hazard of disease recurrence. Among factors contributing to recurrence, pleomorphic sarcoma (PS) and malignant fibrous histiocytoma (MFH) tumor types, tumor sizes larger than 10 cm, and high-grade tumors were associated with shorter disease-free intervals. In contrast to previous reports, histologic grade, while predicting a shorter disease-free interval (1,9), was not associated with increased risk of death. Consistent with previous findings that OS is negatively associated with the number of metastases resected (1,7), patients in this study who presented with synchronous extrapulmonary metastases or with more than one pulmonary metastasis had a shorter DFS.

A time-honored debate in PM has been centered on the

use of minimally invasive versus open surgical approaches (10-12). In particular, computed tomography imaging has a known 20% failure rate for the detection of small pulmonary metastases (versus manual palpation), not all non-imaged lesions are malignant, and the clinical impact of small, non-imaged metastases is unknown (11). Over the course of the study, Chudgar and colleagues demonstrated a 4-fold increase in the use of minimally invasive surgery (MIS). Interestingly, in multivariable analyses, MIS was associated with a reduced risk of death, while extent of resection and completeness of resection were not. The authors present an argument that MIS is most commonly selected for peripheral, low-volume metastatic disease and therefore acknowledge that robust preoperative selection criteria can aid surgeons in identifying both patient candidates for MIS and those who may achieve longer survival with PM. Overall, the data presented in this publication offer a foothold for more widespread use of the video-assisted thoracoscopic surgery (VATS) approach to pulmonary resection.

In summary, Chudgar and colleagues have delineated prognostic factors that are clinically useful in selection of STS patients for PM. Such a comprehensive understanding of these preoperative factors has utility for refining the selection of patients with STS pulmonary metastases who will benefit from surgery, from both OS and recurrence free survival standpoints. The impact of this manuscript lies in the strengthened support for PM as a safe, reliable, and effective therapeutic approach for patients with STS pulmonary metastases while our understanding of the biology underlying STS metastases evolves.

Acknowledgements

Funding: None.

Footnote

Provenance and Peer Review: This article was commissioned and reviewed by the Section Editor Qingyuan Huang (Department of Thoracic Surgery, Shanghai Chest Hospital, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai, China).

Conflicts of Interest: Both authors have completed the ICMJE uniform disclosure form (available at <http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/amj.2017.08.25>). The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

Ethical Statement: The authors are accountable for all

aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved.

Open Access Statement: This is an Open Access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0), which permits the non-commercial replication and distribution of the article with the strict proviso that no changes or edits are made and the original work is properly cited (including links to both the formal publication through the relevant DOI and the license). See: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>.

References

1. Billingsley KG, Burt ME, Jara E, et al. Pulmonary metastases from soft tissue sarcoma: analysis of patterns of diseases and postmetastasis survival. *Ann Surg* 1999;229:602-10; discussion 610-2.
2. Coindre JM, Terrier P, Guillou L, et al. Predictive value of grade for metastasis development in the main histologic types of adult soft tissue sarcomas: a study of 1240 patients from the French Federation of Cancer Centers Sarcoma Group. *Cancer* 2001;91:1914-26.
3. Smith R, Demmy TL. Pulmonary metastasectomy for soft tissue sarcoma. *Surg Oncol Clin N Am* 2012;21:269-86.
4. Potter DA, Glenn J, Kinsella T, et al. Patterns of recurrence in patients with high-grade soft-tissue sarcomas. *J Clin Oncol* 1985;3:353-66.
5. Predina JD, Puc MM, Bergey MR, et al. Improved survival after pulmonary metastasectomy for soft tissue sarcoma. *J Thorac Oncol* 2011;6:913-9.
6. Smith R, Pak Y, Kraybill W, et al. Factors associated with actual long-term survival following soft tissue sarcoma pulmonary metastasectomy. *Eur J Surg Oncol* 2009;35:356-61.
7. Sardenberg RA, Figueiredo LP, Haddad FJ, et al. Pulmonary metastasectomy from soft tissue sarcomas. *Clinics (Sao Paulo)* 2010;65:871-6.
8. Gadd MA, Casper ES, Woodruff JM, et al. Development and treatment of pulmonary metastases in adult patients with extremity soft tissue sarcoma. *Ann Surg* 1993;218:705-12.
9. Blackmon SH, Shah N, Roth JA, et al. Resection of pulmonary and extrapulmonary sarcomatous metastases is associated with long-term survival. *Ann Thorac Surg* 2009;88:877-84; discussion 884-5.
10. Kim S, Ott HC, Wright CD, et al. Pulmonary resection

- of metastatic sarcoma: prognostic factors associated with improved outcomes. *Ann Thorac Surg* 2011;92:1780-6; discussion 1786-7.
11. Cerfolio RJ, Bryant AS, McCarty TP, et al. A prospective study to determine the incidence of non-imaged malignant pulmonary nodules in patients who undergo metastasectomy by thoracotomy with lung palpation. *Ann Thorac Surg* 2011;91:1696-700; discussion 1700-1.
 12. Guerrini GP, Lo Faso F, Vagliasindi A, et al. The Role of Minimally Invasive Surgery in the Treatment of Lung Metastases. *J Invest Surg* 2017;30:110-5.

doi: 10.21037/amj.2017.08.25

Cite this article as: Raghuram AC, Burt BM. The surgeon will see you now: selecting soft-tissue sarcoma patients for pulmonary metastasectomy. *AME Med J* 2017;2:139.