A narrative review of redo coronary artery bypass grafting
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**Abstract:** Redo coronary artery bypass grafting (CABG) differs from primary CABG in several aspects and poses an array of technical challenges to the cardiac surgeon. The natural progress of the native coronary artery disease and graft atherosclerosis leads to occlusion or restenosis in those who have had CABG in the past, thus warranting a redo CABG. The patients undergoing redo CABG have a greater incidence of multiple co-morbidities compared to those undergoing primary CABG. Over the years the need for redo CABG has decreased in comparison to the volume of CABG surgeries. The database of the Society of Thoracic Surgeons Adult Cardiac Surgery has shown a drop in redo procedures from 6% in the year 2000 to 3.4% in 2009 and over the next decade down to 2% of the overall CABGs. Left main stem lesions, myocardial infarction and heart failure are encountered significantly more often in patients needing redo CABG. Patient scenarios more often necessitate an urgent or emergent redo surgery. There is a threefold mortality risk for redo CABG compared to primary CABG in the perioperative period. However, the risk for redo CABG per se is reduced from 6.0% to 4.6%, a relative risk reduction of 23.7%. A careful preoperative workup which includes high-resolution imaging, precise surgical techniques and good myocardial protection using cold blood cardioplegia are the cornerstones of successful redo CABG. The adoption of off-pump technique also contributes to good outcomes. Better outcomes for this high-risk population are apparent in surgical centers that have high volumes and greater experience in redo CABG. Patients with ischemia involving the left anterior descending artery territory with viable myocardium and intense symptoms despite requisite medical therapy, in whom percutaneous coronary intervention is technically not feasible, are candidates for redo surgery. Surgical revascularization is also preferable in patients having significantly diseased vein grafts and left ventricular dysfunction. This narrative review provides an insight into the incidence, patients risk profile, indications, preoperative evaluation and patient selection, challenges associated with redo CABG, strategies to improve surgical outcomes and prospects of redo CABG.
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**Introduction**

Coronary artery bypass grafting (CABG) continues to be the treatment of choice in patients with complex multivessel coronary artery disease (CAD) (1-3) and offers long term palliation. The evolution of surgical coronary revascularization over recent decades has been well recognized and re-operative CABG is being performed more and more infrequently in contemporary practice (4,5). Redo CABG is warranted when patients with a prior surgical myocardial revascularization have progression of their native CAD or atherosclerosis of graft(s) leading to stenosis or occlusion and development of symptoms that limit their regular activity. The morbidity and mortality following redo CABG is higher due to the increased intraoperative complexity (6). The challenges of redo
CABG include decision making aspects, difficult technical operation, shortage of conduits, sicker patients apart from the problems of re-entrant sternotomy (7-9). Patients requiring redo CABG includes those with either failure of all conduits or failure of vein grafts with patent arterial conduits or the reverse combination. With the increasing longevity of CABG patients, re-operative CABG surgery has emerged as an essential requisite in the cardiac surgeon’s armamentarium (10). Despite treating patients with more complex CAD and greater medical co-morbidities, improvements have occurred in operative strategies and techniques that have resulted in a reduction of operative morbidity and mortality in this challenging population (11). Traditionally, coronary reoperations have been performed using cardiopulmonary bypass (CPB) with the aorta cross clamped and heart arrested using cardioplegia. But this cannot be the norm in redo CABG since many in this high-risk subgroup are generally elderly who are frequently frail and have a diminished physiologic reserve when compared to the young (9,12,13). This review describes the changing trends in the incidence of redo CABG, risk profile and challenges associated with redo CABG indications, preoperative evaluation and patient selection and outcomes of redo CABG. This review also describes various strategies to improve surgical outcomes and prospects of redo CABG. A literature review focused on the epidemiology, evaluation, operative techniques and strategies, and outcomes associated with reoperative CABG. We present this article in accordance with the Narrative Review reporting checklist (available at http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/amj-20-50).

Incidence of redo CABG

The frequency of redo CABG procedures has been decreasing steadily over time, yet redo CABG forms an important part of the surgical coronary revascularization workload (4,14). Of all the CABG procedures reported to the Society of Thoracic Surgeons (STS) Adult Cardiac Surgery Database, as a percentage of overall CABG volume, redo CABG decreased from 6.4% (8,820/137,267) in 2000 to 3.6% (5,734/160,997) in 2009, a 35% reduction in redo CABG volume over 10 years (4). In 2017 the proportion of redo CABG was reported to be 2% (4). According to data from the annual report of the Japanese Association for Thoracic Surgery (JATS), the ratio of redo CABG to the total number of CABG procedures has decreased from 10% to 2% over 10 years (15,16). A need for repeat revascularization of 2% at the end of the first year after primary CABG, 7% at 5 years, 13% at 10 years and 16% at 18 years had been reported in the study by the STS accounting for 723,134 patients who had undergone a prior CABG, even though the point incidence of redo CABG was as low as 0.1%, 0.6%, 1.3% and 1.7% respectively (5). Different studies have corroborated the fact that there is a year on year increase in the incidence of redo procedures ranging from <3% at 5 years, up to 10% at the end of 10 years and rising to 20–30% near about 15 years after the first revascularization surgery (17-19). When viewed in terms of success of the primary procedure, 73% of patients were free from re-intervention at 15 years, 60% at 20 years and 46% at 25 years among the 26,927 patients followed up at the Cleveland Clinic as reported by Sabik et al. (14), implying that nearly one in every two patients who undergoes a primary CABG would need repeat re-intervention after 25 years.

van Domburg and colleagues in a 30-year follow-up of over 1,041 primary CABG procedures wherein all venous conduits were used, reported re-interventions in 36% of the patients; 29.6% had redo CABG. However, it was noted that percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) was the re-intervention in those 20 years after the primary CABG (20). Redo CABG currently accounts for 4% of all CABG procedures in the UK and India (21,22). Higher prevalence of repeat revascularization was associated with female sex, severe CAD, preoperative dialysis and incomplete revascularization. The proportion of CABG patients needing a redo procedure has been consistently declining largely due to increasing adoption of the left internal mammary artery (LIMA) for tackling lesions in the left anterior descending artery (LAD), use of additional arterial grafts during primary operation, percutaneous coronary intervention and improvement in postoperative medical therapy for secondary prevention (16). Further left main disease, smoking and advanced age were associated with the lower requirement for repeat revascularization (5).

The risk profile of patients undergoing redo CABG

There is more extensive CAD and a more compromised left ventricular function among the patients who required redo CABG with older age being another risk factor (9,16). Globally, the patient risk has worsened overtime with more patients who had a prior percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) presenting with acute coronary syndrome and heart failure in need of an urgent or emergent surgery.
These patients have a greater prevalence of other co-
morbidities such as diabetes, hypertension, and chronic
kidney disease (9,16). A study of the STS database compared
the demographics of patients who underwent redo CABG
patients in 2009 with those in 2000 and found no significant
change in age or gender. There were more co-morbidities
in more severe forms of congestive cardiac failure, left main
CAD and myocardial infarction among the redo patients in
the year 2009 (4). A similar worsening of the preoperative risk
factors for redo CABG was reported over twenty years, from
1990 to 2009, by Spiliotopoulos and colleagues (23). Yap
et al. (24) and Sabik et al. (11) have shown that the risk matrix
was significantly worse for redo CABG when compared to
those undergoing a primary procedure. In India patients
undergoing primary CABG are at a younger age than their
Western counterparts in need of redo CABG (25).

### Challenges of redo CABG

There are ample technical challenges during a redo
CABG (Table 1). The shortage of conduits is frequently
encountered due to their use at the previous operation (9).
Currently given the popularity of endoscopic vein harvest
techniques, ultrasonic evaluation of saphenous vein is
needed to ascertain the extent of the remnant saphenous
vein. Cardiovascular injury on sternal re-entry is an ever-
present danger as the pericardium has already been
breached and could result in cardiac injury, damage to the
great vessels and bypass grafts from an undue proximity
or adherence to the sternum. A diseased aorta enveloped
in scar tissue cramps the space needed for cannulation and
aortic clamping while placing the patient on pump support
additionally renders proximal anastomoses of the venous
grafts more challenging. Effective delivery of cardioplegia to
all areas of the myocardium can be challenging in the presence of
occluded coronaries and grafts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1 Challenges associated with redo CABG</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Shortage of conduits</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cardiovascular injury on re-entry</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Patent right ITA graft crossing midline at risk of injury</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Potentially diseased aorta and surrounded with scar tissue with limited space to cannulate for CPB, clamp, and proximal anastomosis(es)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Myocardial protection issues as effective delivery of cardioplegia to all areas of the myocardium can be challenging in the presence of occluded coronaries and grafts</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>A patent ITA to a totally occluded LAD</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Higher usage of IABP support (more than four times that of primary CABG)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Difficulties in achieving optimal myocardial protection and complete revascularization</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Difficulty with finding and controlling patent ITA grafts</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ITA graft injuries have serious consequences and patent ITAs are particularly vulnerable</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Finding a coronary target can be challenging</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>The quality of targets may be poor</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>More bleeding because of adhesions</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Antithrombotic therapy in the immediate preoperative period</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Partially occluded atherosclerotic veins that have continued antegrade flow are predisposed to embolization leading to fatal MI</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CABG, coronary artery bypass grafting; IABP, intra-aortic balloon pump; ITA, internal thoracic artery; LAD, left anterior descending artery; MI, myocardial infarction.
could be encountered when the atherosclerotic vein grafts are partially occluded which in turn puts the patient at risk for embolization and myocardial infarction (7).

The availability of arterial conduits is compromised if the IMA graft was also used at primary CABG. Usage of radial artery, right gastroepiploic artery, other IMA graft may be required and is associated with greater technical difficulty and increased surgical time. Difficulty in visualization and accessing the coronary targets, and heightened risk of coronary microembolization may lead to myocardial infarction that increases morbidity and mortality of coronary reoperations.

**Indications for reoperation**

The nonavailability of functional patent arterial grafts is a key deciding factor (27). Patients with suspected early graft dysfunction either in the form of ischemic symptoms or raised cardiac enzymes or new regional wall motion abnormalities should undergo angiogram followed by appropriate revascularization by PCI or redo CABG. The late graft dysfunction or progression of disease in the form of severe symptoms despite optimal management or large area of inducible ischemia by noninvasive evaluation should undergo angiogram followed by either PCI or redo CABG (28-36). The mortality risk doubles or even quadruples for redo CABG in comparison with primary CABG at centers where redo surgeries are performed infrequently (5,11,24,37). There is more to be understood regarding the efficacy of PCI and redo CABG in repeat revascularization. The distribution of patients for revascularization by PCI, redo CABG or noninvasive medical management differs significantly in the peer-reviewed literature; a few favoring PCI others favoring redo CABG (27,38). The overall three-year mortality was similar between repeat CABG and revascularization through PCI as reported in the AWESOME randomized control trial and registry (38,39). Two other studies also reported a similar incidence of mortality and myocardial infarction after redo CABG and PCI as secondary intervention, while there appeared to be a preference for PCI for revascularization (40). PCI has gained an edge for revascularization whenever there is an amenable target vessel anatomy since there is a higher risk of operative mortality with redo CABG, while the long-term results are comparable (40).

**Strategies to improve outcomes of redo CABG**

(1) **Operative planning and team strategy for revascularization**

To improve the surgical outcomes a thorough preoperative evaluation of the patients and strategic operative planning are prerequisites to minimize the intraoperative complications (44). A team briefing about the plan of the procedure, the anticipated adverse events and the strategy to tackle the difficult situation is the cornerstone of operative planning. A careful review of coronary angiograms and computed tomographic imaging of the chest is mandatory. Patent IMAs are particularly valuable and injury to the IMAs should be avoided.

(2) **Use of imaging modalities**

A pre-operative CT scan is helpful to evaluate the space between the back of the sternum and its posteriorly-related vital structures. One can avoid unpleasant surprises by establishing the patency and anatomy of the femoral, iliac and axillary vessels using Doppler ultrasonography should there be a need for peripheral cannulation (9).

**Sternal re-entry**

The use of an oscillating saw (Figure 1) has been shown to promote an uneventful sternal re-entry with further safety to the retrosternal structures being ensured with the use of a micro-oscillating saw (45,46). The wires are cut anteriorly and bent back and retained in situ on the posterior aspect of the sternum. If severe adhesions are encountered an extra-
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area of concern</th>
<th>Strategic approach</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Operative planning &amp; team strategy</td>
<td>Thorough patient evaluation, careful review of preoperative imaging studies and operative planning with the team CT chest to delineate previous grafts, assess quality of aorta and determine distance of heart from back of sternum Evaluation of anatomy and patency of axillary, iliac, and femoral vessels should the need for extrathoracic cannulation arise</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sternotomy &amp; essentials of local dissection</td>
<td>A sternotomy is preferably performed with an oscillating saw and identifying the pericardial edges and intrapericardial dissection in the right plane In extreme-risk cases, sternotomy after instituting peripheral cardiopulmonary bypass Early dissection of the aorta and the right side of the heart is performed to allow for central cannulation for CPB and epiaortic ultrasound to locate disease-free spots in the aorta for cannulation and clamping Dissection of the left side of the heart and a patent LITA graft may be performed before going on CPB if the dissection is easy and safe The no-touch method for old venous grafts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management of intraoperative complications &amp; myocardial protection</td>
<td>If injury or ischemia occurs, the prime objectives are to protect the brain and heart and this often requires emergency cannulation with or without hypothermia Perfusion or cardioplegia to the injured cardiac territory and retrograde delivery of cardioplegia if needed Control of a patent ITA graft while delivering cardioplegia, and primary repair of injured bypass grafts should be backed up with a replacement graft</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grafting configuration &amp; choice of bypass conduits</td>
<td>Arterial grafts should be used whenever possible, especially in younger patients and those with a reasonable life expectancy LITA-to-LAD confers a survival advantage RITA or RA to be used in whom the LITA has been previously used Composite Y or T grafts can extend the reach of the grafts (free RITA can be taken off the patent in-situ LITA-to-LAD graft to bypass a lateral wall target)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Off-pump technique</td>
<td>Eliminates CPB-induced complications—coagulopathy, avoidance of aortic manipulation Use of intracoronary shunts offers effective myocardial protection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On-pump technique</td>
<td>Redo CABG with the use of CPB is associated with lesser perioperative mortality even in hemodynamically unstable patients</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sternal-sparing approaches</td>
<td>In patients with a patent LITA-to-LAD graft and significant myocardial ischemia in a sizable non-LAD territory not amenable to percutaneous intervention, sternal-sparing thoracotomy approach with or without cardiopulmonary bypass is a less invasive option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grafting strategies</td>
<td>Customized arterial grafting strategies using RITA and RA grafts may be advantageous</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postoperative care</td>
<td>Routine post-redo CABG care includes guideline-directed medical therapy Secondary preventive strategies to optimize short- and long-term outcomes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CABG, coronary artery bypass grafting; CPB, cardiopulmonary bypass; LAD, left anterior descending artery; LITA, left internal thoracic artery; RA, radial artery; RITA, right internal thoracic artery.
Cardiac access via the femoral artery or the right axillary artery is essential for initiating CPB.

Lysis of adhesions and essentials of local dissection

After achieving sternal entry, it is usual to secure the easier part of the dissection by commencing at the inferior part of the heart. This is followed by establishing the arterial and venous accesses by proceeding next with dissecting the ascending aorta and the right atrium thereafter.

In a conventional approach, this would be the time to institute CPB and continue further dissection in the comfort of the decompressed heart. Epi-aortic ultrasonography may help to demarcate segments that are free of disease in the aorta for cannulation, clamping and proximal anastomoses. In the case of an off-pump redo CABG procedure, the dissecting planes can be visualized better by rotating the heart using a heart positioner. However, it is important to complete the dissection of the IMA along its entire length as this is essential to allow for the rotation of the heart during off-pump surgery (Figure 2). A harmonic scalpel may be useful in minimizing the bleeding during the lysis of pericardial adhesions. An upward dissection from the cardiac apex in a plane close to the pericardium would help locate the proximally placed patent LIMA underneath the lung. A harmonic scalpel enhanced dissection of the IMA graft is a strong recommendation (47). No-touch method of dissection of diseased vein grafts should be adopted to avoid embolization of the grafts.

The IMA graft needs to be clamped across using an atraumatic clamp during the delivery of cardioplegia. If the right IMA (RIMA) grafted to the LAD is patent, it would need to be dissected free before aortic cannulation. This would ease the placement of the partial occlusion clamp while performing the proximal anastomosis of the intended grafts, whether arterial or venous. The entire dissection has to be intra-pericardial. Waiting until the aortic cross-clamping and cardiac arrest is established to dissect out the left ventricle is advantageous since dissection is rendered more comfortable and proves more accurate. It is also safer since there is less damage to the epicardium and less bleeding.

Myocardial protection

Myocardial protection is extremely important, especially in redo CABG, because the coronary arteries of patients undergoing redo CABG are often severely atherosclerotic, and occluded. Care should be taken to protect the myocardium, especially if it is mainly perfused by the IMA. In such a case, the cardioplegic solution should be given into the root of the aorta and also through the coronary sinus in a retrograde fashion.

Management of patent diseased vein grafts

Vein grafts to the LAD territory which are diseased but patent pose a dilemma since it has been shown the replacement of a patent but diseased vein graft with an arterial graft has a higher chance of hypoperfusion. This is because the flow through the new LIMA graft is lower than...
Managing intraoperative complications
Most complications stem from injury and/or ischemia and in both situations preserving and protecting cardiac and neural function is paramount. These situations warrant an immediate cannulation and initiation of CPB without hypothermia. A primary repair of the injured grafts must be attempted to restore perfusion to the territory at risk. Once the crisis is averted, the injured graft which had been repaired may need to be replaced with a new graft in the later part of the surgery. Perfusion of the deprived territory can also be enhanced by delivering cardioplegia through the coronary sinus in a retrograde manner and clamping of a patent IMA graft (9).

Grafting configurations and choice of bypass conduits
The selection of bypass conduits for reoperation CABG is a challenging issue. Broadly, the concepts for the choice of conduit remains the same for both primary and redo CABG. More number of arterial grafts (IMAs, radial artery, right gastroepiploic artery) should be used when there is a higher life expectancy especially younger patients. If only saphenous vein grafts were used at the primary operation, the LIMA and RIMA are a preferred option for redo CABG. Many patients who undergo redo CABG may have a patent IMA-to-LAD graft, while occluded venous grafts to left circumflex arteries and right coronary artery territories. In such cases, replacement of old vein grafts by new saphenous vein grafts to right and left circumflex arteries may be carried out; other choices of conduits are the RIMA and radial artery grafts. Careful selection of conduits and target vessels is needed to avoid competitive flow. Graft spasm remains an important consideration with radial artery graft. When considering the revascularization of the circumflex artery territory, RIMA via the transverse sinus can be a good option, with the added caution that this could be a difficult dissection. In situations where in-situ RIMA is not long enough to reach the target, the single IMA graft arrangement can be upgraded to a bilateral IMA graft arrangement using the RIMA as a free graft (50). Min et al. (51) reported complete revascularization using a free RIMA graft as a side branch from the previous patent LIMA-to-LAD graft. Such graft arrangements using bilateral IMAs may have a better survival, similar to primary CABG (52). For patients in whom LIMA has been used previously harvesting RIMA does not increase the risk of deep sternal wound infections (53). The evidence that the use of at least one IMA graft improves outcomes in the primary CABG is compelling and the same philosophy applies to redo CABG. The right gastroepiploic artery graft may be a good option for a graft to the posterior descending artery in redo CABG (54,55). Gastroepiploic artery grafts are sensitive to competitive flow with concerns related to graft length, size variation and vulnerability to spasm being some of the other challenges (55).

Choice of technique: on-pump versus off-pump
Off-pump CABG is promoted more often in Asian countries. Patients suitable for on-pump redo CABG may also be suitable for off-pump redo CABG and there is no absolute contraindication for reoperative off-pump CABG. There are several reasons favoring off-pump redo CABG. It avoids cannulation of the aorta as well as aortic manipulation in those instances where the IMA inflows feed the prospective new grafts. Further use of intracoronary shunts in off-pump reoperations eliminates concerns related to the effectiveness of cardioplegia. The patients with stable hemodynamics, large epicardial target coronary arteries and anterior, inferior wall coronary targets are suitable for off-pump CABG. However, the off-pump technique is relatively difficult to adopt in patients with dilated heart, mitral insufficiency, lateral wall targets, and patent IMA grafts. Surgical delineation of the target vessels tends to be challenging with off-pump when there is a large heart with excessive epicardial fat. Performing repeat revascularization on beating heart may result in hemodynamic instability.

Maltais et al. reported 6% perioperative mortality in a cohort of patients who all underwent redo CABG using on-pump technique (56). On the other hand, the mortality of redo CABG at 1 month with off-pump technique has been reported to be less than half that of the on-pump technique (57). A meta-analysis of 12 studies found that off-pump redo CABG had a lower mortality in the early postoperative period in selected patients (58). Mishra et al. (12) reported their 10-year experience for a cohort of 296 patients with single and multi-vessel reoperative off-pump CABG with a lower early mortality of 3.5%...
compared to 5.5%, for on-pump redo CABG (P=0.066). Sajja et al. reported a mortality of 4.4% for a cohort of 68 young patients who underwent redo CABG using on-pump technique (25). Taggart et al. reported 1% hospital mortality for 159 consecutive redo patients over 6 years (59). Several studies have firmly established that there is no difference in in-hospital mortality between on- and off-pump redo CABG cases (60-63). This, however, changes when there are coexisting comorbidities as off-pump CABG has the advantage of a lower in-hospital mortality (64). Additionally, it has been shown that the occurrence of serious complications such as stroke is lower with the off-pump technique (65,66). The incidence of serious adverse effects and operative mortality was statistically lesser in redo surgeries done off-pump as per the propensity analysis done on the Japanese Cardiovascular Surgery Database (67).

**Sternal sparing techniques**

Although most reoperations are performed via re-entrant median sternotomy and use of CPB, non-sternotomy small incisions with off-pump techniques become handy during reoperations. This approach, with or without CPB, may also be a better option, when there is a LIMA to LAD graft which is stenosed but not suitable for PCI, to address extensive ischemia of the LAD territory. With sternal sparing options there is a reduction of injury to cardiovascular structures, and avoidance of manipulation of the ascending aorta particularly when it is calcified or diseased. Reoperations in situations with limited areas of ischemic myocardium needing revascularization often can be accomplished via a limited incision and without the use of CPB. The distal LAD artery may be exposed via a small anterior thoracotomy. A posterior thoracotomy is a useful option in redo CABG when targeting the lateral wall of the left ventricle (68). Newer, stabilizing devices are usually employed for anastomotic reconstruction, although intra-pericardial adhesions provide some stability. However, a median sternotomy may be useful when harvesting a RIMA graft.

**Re-redo coronary artery bypass operations**

The CABG procedure either primary or redo is technically similar. Many patients undergoing second- or third-redo CABG usually had their first CABG procedure more than a decade and a half ago and have severe native vessel disease. The primary concern in the re-redo coronary operation is the non-availability of suitable conduits. Currently, redo operations are performed infrequently. The operative mortality for the re-reoperations has decreased to 0.8% from 8% (12).

**Surgical outcomes of redo CABG**

It is reassuring to witness the steady decline in the surgical mortality of reoperative CABG over time from 6% in 2000 to 4.6% in 2009, despite the ever-increasing comorbidities in this group (4). The risk model of the STS nonetheless emphasizes the higher odds ratio of perioperative mortality in redo CABG besides showing an increased incidence of other major complications including prolonged postoperative ventilation and renal failure (9,69). The outcomes of redo CABG are underscored by two important determinants—patient risk profile and surgical experience in reoperative CABG. The challenges of technical difficulties in redo CABG have been surmounted with increasing expertise. Surgical centers with high volumes of redo CABG do not consider redo surgery as a major concern and focus more on the risk profile of the patients with perioperative mortality less than 2%. Table 3 summarizes the incidence of redo CABG and related mortality (70).

**Completeness of revascularization in redo CABG**

The goal of reoperation CABG mirrors that of primary CABG which is to achieve complete revascularization. Di Mauro et al. (71) have shown that the incidence of incomplete revascularization in off-pump redo CABG is 17.1% as compared to 5.9% in on-pump redo surgery (P<0.1). Incomplete revascularization is an independent risk factor for cardiac mortality at 5 years. Tügtek and associates used index of completeness of revascularization to compare on-pump redo CABG and off-pump redo CABG (60) and observed a higher incidence of incomplete revascularization in on-pump redo CABG (56.09% vs. 48.6%, P<0.01).

**Prospects**

The adoption of multiple arterial grafting techniques during primary CABG has the potential to obviate the need for a redo CABG thereby bringing a further reduction in the need for redo CABG in the future. However, should the need arise, redo CABG would continue to be challenging because patients would in all likelihood have more comorbidities with more complex coronary anatomy and left ventricular dysfunction. The future of redo CABG
would benefit from an increased adoption of minimally invasive approaches avoiding sternal re-entry and without the use of CPB. A combination of PCI and off-pump CABG resulting in hybrid revascularization would give the patient “the best of both worlds”.

Conclusions

Redo CABG is a complex operation and appropriate planning and meticulous surgical technique are essential for achieving optimal outcomes. Surgeons who perform redo CABG procedures in a wide spectrum of anatomical situations may find either off-pump or on-pump strategy as helpful. There is a decreasing trend in the frequency of reoperative CABG, which only heightens the challenges of being adept in handling this highly complex surgical procedure. Surgical centers with experienced surgeons have rendered the mortality rate of reoperation CABG akin to that of primary CABG.
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